PROGRAM OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT MODEL OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK

(REVISED SEPTEMBER 2001)

Program Assessed:

Degree: Bachelor of Landscape Architecture

Major: Landscape Architecture

OVERVIEW

Executive Summary

The Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education have asked that personnel in each institution of higher education in Oklahoma develop and implement a "... process that measures the overall impact of the college/university experience on students..." The Landscape Architecture Program assumes primary responsibility for the development and implementation of the program outcome assessment model for our students. The purpose for developing models for program outcome assessment is to provide a basis for program improvement, to assure the public a program of quality and accountability, and to document progress toward meeting programmatic objectives.

MISSIONS, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The Landscape Architecture Program mission is to provide the opportunity for students to develop a comprehensive foundation for technical, professional, and related skills needed to enter into the landscape professions. The foundation enables the student to make a positive contribution to the profession upon graduation as a landscape architect, landscape designer, or landscape contractor.

Intended Outcomes/ Objectives

The intended outcomes/objectives of the Landscape Architecture program are to:

- 1. Prepare the undergraduate student for a range of professional career roles in private practice or public service in landscape architecture by teaching basic landscape architectural knowledge and problem solving skills.
- 2. Broaden the students' viewpoint, to exercise and develop their thought processes and to encourage individual interest and development by utilizing University resources to provide a spectrum of general education courses, technical courses, and exposure to other professions.
- 3. Encourage and assist the students in developing a professional ethic and personal philosophy to guide them in the application of their skills and knowledge of landscape architecture.

PROGRAM OUTCOMES

Program outcomes are closely related to programmatic objectives and curricular objectives. The Landscape Architecture Program activities are unique in that they provide many avenues for possible outcomes. They provide students with a foundation for both technical and professional skills as they enter into the landscape professions. Program outcomes should be assessed based upon internal evaluation of student performance, records of visiting lecturers/critics, records of student portfolio reviews, evaluation of design projects by professional jurors, responses of alumni survey/questionnaire, evaluation of capstone courses, national student design competition and field day, satisfaction survey of study abroad program, performance review of student internship, and responses of student exit interviews.

ASSESSMENT METHODS

There is more than one assessment method that can be used for program outcome assessment. The assessment methods will include internal methods as well as external methods. Existing assessment methods can be adopted or revised to minimize the additional human and financial costs for the assessment program. The following are examples of outcome assessment methods.

1. Internal Evaluation of Student Performance

Conduct an internal evaluation of student performance each year. This evaluation should include a record of Landscape Architecture enrollment data, as well as the number of degrees awarded each year. In addition, data should be recorded as to the employment trends of recently graduated students. Other methods to evaluate student performance can be based upon participation in national and intercollegiate competitions as well as completion of internships.

2. Records of Visiting Lecturers/Critics

Records should be kept showing the numbers of guest lecturers, jurors, and tour leaders that students are exposed to throughout the school year. Visiting critics are an essential part of student outcome assessment for every design studio. This method should also include a listing of field trips taken as part of the students' study.

3. Records of Senior Students' Portfolio Review

Senior Students' Portfolios should be reviewed by a Professional Committee and records kept as to the quality of these Portfolios. Portfolio contents should exhibit a broad but representative sample of students' work during his/her entire college career.

4. Evaluation of Design Projects By Professional Jurors

Conduct an evaluation of student projects based upon the opinion of professional jurors. Data for this evaluation should be based upon the level of students critiqued as well as the relevancy of projects done.

5. Evaluation of Capstone Course

The capstone course for the LA curriculum should be evaluated by both faculty and outside professional jurors. Evaluation of the capstone project should be based upon how appropriate the project is in integrating previous course work required for the professional 'BLA' degree in landscape architecture.

6. Responses of Student Exit Interviews

An exit interview should be conducted with all graduating students prior to graduation. During this interview, a broad array of questions concerning the department, program, courses, and instructors should be asked.

7. Learning Styles Inventory Survey

Conduct a survey to determine learning styles and study habits of all students during Graphics II. Project selection and teaching styles should then be adjusted to best suit the students' needs based on their learning styles.

8. Digital Portfolio for Professional Phase Review

Conduct a review of student portfolios as they apply for the 4th year professional phase of the landscape architecture program. Faculty members should evaluate these portfolios by using a rubric form that has been designed to determine which students are qualified to pass into the next phase of study within the program. This evaluation should take into account organization, aesthetics, content relevancy, self-reflections, verbal, and essay parts of each students' portfolio.

9. Field Day and Design Competition

Students should be encouraged to attend Field Day Competitions and National Student Design Competitions to gain national recognition of OSU LA program. Records should be kept based on different competition events that LA students participate in each year. Data should include numbers of participating students as well as the success rate of students during these competitions.

10. Study Abroad Program Survey

Conduct a survey of all students who participate in study abroad programs through the LA program. This survey should be used to evaluate the experience and provide information for program planning and improvement for future study abroad programs.

APPROPRIATE POPULATION

Different methods of outcomes assessment are appropriate for different levels of the student population. While many methods are designed for students in their senior years, other methods are most useful when implemented throughout the entire student population. Appropriate population based on assessment method can be defined as follows:

- Records of student performance should involve all students, including freshmen.
- Records of visiting lecturers/critics should involve all students, including freshmen.
- Learning styles surveys should take place during Graphics II so that appropriate teaching styles can be used from an early stage within the LA curriculum.
- Evaluation of design projects by professional jurors should begin during Design I and continue throughout Design VI.
- Digital Portfolio for professional phase review should occur while students are in Design II before being admitted into Design III.
- Records of senior student portfolio reviews should occur during Design VI.
- Capstone course evaluation should occur during Design VI.
- Student Exit Interviews should occur after Design VI upon graduation.
- Evaluation of Design Competitions and Field Day Competitions should involve selective students based upon participation.
- Internship Performance Review should involve selective students based upon participation.
- Study Abroad Surveys should involve selective students based upon participation.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Since the methods for outcome assessment come in many folds, the results will need to be collected, analyzed, evaluated, and communicated to those responsible for program improvements. Tables, histograms, line graphs can be used for presenting different data. A combination of forms, texts, charts and graphics should be used to make a report visually more persuasive and understandable.

FACULTY INVOLVEMENT AND USE OF ASSESSMENT DATA

OSU faculty of the landscape architecture program have been involved in carrying out all parts of this assessment process. An internal evaluation of student performance is done by the director of the landscape architecture program. Other methods of outcome assessment involve all faculty of the landscape architecture program. For instance, all faculty are involved in helping to bring visiting lecturers/critics into the studios to help guide and evaluate student design projects. They also work together to create assessment forms to be used in many of the methods of outcome assessment. Faculty members carry out portfolio reviews for admittance into the 4th year of the LA program and are responsible for gathering a professional committee to determine quality of senior students' portfolios and evaluate senior capstone courses. Faculty plays a vital role in both creating and carrying out this assessment plan.

This program model has been designed for the Landscape Architecture Program at Oklahoma State University to help ensure that appropriate program evaluation occurs and that program improvements be identified and carried through when necessary. This assessment plan, including all evaluation forms, was designed by faculty members based upon their opinions of how the program can best be evaluated. All collected data and analyzed results will be shared among students, faculty, and administration. Curriculum quality will be closely monitored by faculty and make alternation or changes according to the constructive opinions.

INTERNAL EVALUATION OF STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE

Student Enrollment

| 200000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|---------|-------|
| Year | Males | Females | Foreign | Total |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Record of Persistence

| Academic Years | Number Entered | Number Remained | % Change |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Degrees Awarded

| Academic Year | Number Graduated |
|----------------|-------------------|
| ricadenne real | Trumber Graduated |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Record of Advanced Study

| Institution | Number | Year Entered Grad. School |
|-------------|--------|---------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Employment

| p | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Present Occupation | Males | Females | Total |
| Advanced Study and Research | | | |
| teaching | | | |
| Private Practice | | | |
| Design/Build | | | |
| Volunteer Service | | | |
| Other (Unemployed) | | | |
| Unknown | | | |

National and Intercollegiate Competition

| Years | Competition Events | Categories | Placing/Awards |
|-------|--------------------|------------|----------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Internships Performance Review

| _ | | Em | ployers' Ra | ting |
|----------------|----------------|------|-------------|------|
| Academic Years | Number Entered | High | Medium | Low |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

FORM RECORDS OF VISITING LECTURERS/CRITICS

| Names | Fields | Dates | Contribution |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------------|
| 1 (4421145) | 110105 | 2 4.00 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

FORM RECORDS OF STUDENTS' PORTFOLIO REVIEW

| | OI STODENIS TORI | (| Quality Ratin | g |
|--------------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|
| Student Name | Reviewed By | High | Medium | Low |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | |

EXAMPLES OF JURY SHEET

(INSTRUCTORS SHOULD DEVELOP THEIR OWN FORMS TO SUIT DIFFERENT PROJECT NEEDS. HOWEVER, THE LAST FEW QUESTIONS TO ASSESS THE STUDENT PERFORMANCE SHOULD BE CONSISTENT THROUGH OUT THE DEPARTMENT)

LA 4424, Spring 93 Michigan Vietnam Monument Project Grading Criteria

Professional Juror Evaluation Sheet

| Please rate the performance of the entire class based on your professional experience. |
|--|
| A. Is the project a practical learning experience for students? |
| |
| |
| |
| B. Is the performance of this class up to the national/professional standard? |
| |
| |
| |
| C. How would you compare the quality of the work completed on this project with the |
| C. How would you compare the quality of the work completed on this project with the |
| work completed by students who you have taught or encountered in the past? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Jury Member's Name: |
| Professional Title: |
| Date: |

ALUMNI SURVEY/QUESTIONNAIRE

| Personal Data: | Name |
|----------------|------------------|
| | Home Address |
| | City, State, Zip |
| | Telephone |
| Firm data: | Business Name |
| | Firm Address |
| | City, State, Zip |
| | Telephone |

General Questions

- **1.** Are you currently employed or enrolled in a graduate or professional school program?
- **2.** Are you employed full-time or part-time?
- 3. Which of the following best describes your employer?

Large corporation

Small corporation

Small business

Federal government

State government

Local government

Nonprofit organization

Educational

Self-employed

- **4.** What is the name of your employer? What is your position title?
- **5.** How closely is your current position related to your major?
- **6.** What is your approximate annual salary range?
- **7.** In general, how well did your undergraduate studies prepare you for your current position?
- **8.** If you are enrolled in graduate or professional school, what degree are you pursuing?
- **9.** What is the name of your graduate or professional school?
- **10.** In general, how well did your undergraduate studies prepare you for your graduate school or professional school program?
- 11. How satisfied are you with your overall educational experience at OSU?
- **12.** How satisfied are you with the quality of instruction you received in your major field of study?
- **13.** How well do you think your OSU education prepared you in your ability to use a computer?
- **14.** How well do you think your OSU education prepared you in your ability to write effectively?

- **15.** How well do you think your OSU education prepared you in your ability to identify analyze problems?
- **16.** To what extent did your OSU education contribute to your understanding of diverse cultures?
- **17.** To what extent did your OSU education contribute to your understanding of current social and political issues?
- **18.** To what extent did your OSU education contribute to your understanding of responsible citizenship?

Landscape Architecture Questions

- **1.** What was your area of emphasis?
- 2. Which of the following would you say best describes the primary types of projects undertaken by your firm?

Residential Sites

Commercial Sites

Industrial Sites

Institutional Sites

Playground, parks, recreational

Apartments and housing

Urban spaces

Land development

Environmental assessment

Urban & Regional planning

Other: Specify

3. Given your current responsibilities, what additional skills/subjects would have been helpful to you?

Computer graphics

Cost estimation

Grading and drainage

More business classes

More computers

More construction background

More surveying classes

More technical writing

More real-world projects

Problem solving skills

Other:

- **4.** How satisfied are you with the quality of academic advising you received as a Landscape Architecture major?
- **5.** How satisfied were you with the following subject areas of your degree program?

Design

Construction

Plant materials

Graphics

History and introduction

Planning

Horticulture

Computer aided design Professional practice and seminar

6. How helpful to you were the following aspects of your undergraduate degree program?

Your portfolio Your internship

Your senior project

Your interactions with individual faculty Your courses in your major

FORM Department of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture Student Exit Interview Questionnaire

| A. B. | Did you enroll at OSU as a freshman? If not, what were your reasons? |
|-----------------------------|--|
| How | long did it take to complete your Horticulture/Landscape Architecture degree |
| Wer | SU?e you a transfer student? If so, from what college and department? |
| Scho | ool and Department J College and Department |
| entra Con Plea | transfer student, did your previous undergraduate course work prepare you for ance into OSU's Horticulture/Landscape Architecture/Landscape tracting/Turf program?se rate your Horticulture/Landscape Architecture/Landscape Contracting/Turf ration at OSU: |
| | Below Average |
| | Average Above Average Excellent |
| Wha Plea depa | Above Average |
| Wha Plea depa sugg | Above Average Excellent It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like |
| Wha Plea depa sugg | Above Average Excellent It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the leas |
| Wha Plea depa sugg | Above Average Excellent It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you fine most valuable and within the artment and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you fine most valuable and within the artment and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you fine most valuable and within the artment and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you fine most valuable and within the artment and program? It did you fine most valuable and why? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you lik |
| Wha Plea depa sugg | Above Average Excellent It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you received within the artment and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the most about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least about the department and program? It did you like the least abo |

| What deficiencies do you perceive exist in our programs? | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| a. How would you rate the quality of advising in our department? | | | | | | | | |
| | Below Average Average Above Average Excellent | | | | | | | |
| b. | If a transfer student, how did our advising compare with previous advising experiences? | | | | | | | |
| Ot | her suggestions to improve the department and its programs? | | | | | | | |
| a. | Are you planning on attending graduate school? Yes or No | | | | | | | |
| ъ. b. | If yes, immediately and where? | | | | | | | |
| If later, give approximate time Job opportunities: | | | | | | | | |
| a. | Do you have a job upon graduation? | | | | | | | |
| b. | If so, with whom and what is the address? Have you interviewed with any firm? | | | | | | | |
| c. | If so, with whom or number of interviews. Are you willing to relocated outside of Oklahoma? | | | | | | | |
| | How did you find out about the Horticulture/Landscape Architecture/Landscape Contracting/Turf programs? | | | | | | | |
| | What made you choose your major? What are your career goals? | | | | | | | |
| a. b. | Within next 5 years Long-term; 10 years | | | | | | | |
| Di | Did you complete an internship? If so, please rate your experience. | | | | | | | |
| | Below Average Average Above Average Excellent | | | | | | | |
| W | hat is your alumni mailing address: | | | | | | | |

FORM PORTFOLIO EVALUATION RUBRIC FORM

Portfolio Evaluation **Admission to the Professional Phase** Landscape Architecture Program Oklahoma State University Deficient = Fair = Good = Clear Excellent = Evaluat Beginning conceptual insufficient conceptual Outstanding work; work rich ion Score in preparation, weak understanding; main understanding of in depth and precise detail, organization, incorrect idea broad with little information, proficient points consistent presentation information details in professional ideas Points Deficient (1) Fair (2) Good (3) Excellent (4) excellent thought no thought thought process lack of focus, process, logical transitions, organiz process, structure easy to follow, clearly ation thought process not excellent focus, clearly labeled, good focus, confusing, poor paste clear, paste up job ok mechanics labeled, paste up job up job paste up job neat exciting copied clip art, elementary, clear clip art, clear clip art, no use of sketches sloppy, simple clear sketches and excellent sketches and aesthet and sections, graphics, format sections, good contrast sections, excellent contrast ics, graphics background lacks boring, juvenile, color background, fonts color background, fonts and contrast, fonts and primitive, rudimentary and labels easy to read labels exciting labeling dull mostly personal information. examples of outstanding only personal content related course work or examples of related course no course work or relevancy information work or field examples field work or field field samples samples mostly excellent integration self no reflective descriptive-not telling some personal of experiences and theory, reflections why pieces were pieces reflection of pieces thoughtful reflection included limited articulation highly articulate verbal inarticulate articulate good clarity, lack clarity, high clarity, in depth proficient in limited clarity, clean essay professional ideas sloppy professional ideas Subtot al Total Comments Score Studen Evaluator's

Evaluators: Please mark the proper criterion for each category. Maximum points a student can accumulate are 24 points and minimum

Signature

t Name

FORM PERU STUDY ABROAD PROGRAM SURVEY

| Rank the educational experience from the Peru program. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rank the way this experience will influence your college | | | | | | | | | | |
| coursework. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how well the trip relates to the LA program at OSU. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how beneficial it was to work with architecture students. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how much you learned from the Peruvian professors. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how beneficial the sketching class was. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how beneficial the obrisk class was. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how the trips will help your future designs. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank the challenge level of the project. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank the design studio environment. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how much you learned from the Peruvian design process. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank the time frame of the project. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how much you learned from the trips we took. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how much you learned about sketching and journalizing. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rank how beneficial the sketchbook project was. | | | | | | | | | | |

Japan Study Abroad Program Survey Summer 2001

Rank the following experiences regarding your stay in Japan on a scale of 1 to 10.

| Poor | | | | | | | | |] | Excellent |
|------|---|------------|-----------|----------|---|----------|-------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1. | Overnig | ght stay a | and relat | ionship | with y | our hos | t family | in Kan | neoka | |
| 2. | Daily tra | avel and | guided | tours of | f Japan | ese Gar | dens | | | |
| 3. | Interacti | ion with | Kameol | ka citiz | ens thro | ough tou | irs and | other pr | ogram a | ectivities |
| 4. | Lecture | S | | | | | | | | |
| | His | tory of t | he Japan | ese Ga | rden | | | | | |
| | Gre | en Festa | a (by Mr. | . Haseg | gawa) | | | | | |
| 5. | Green F | esta acti | vities w | ith the | citizens | s of Kan | neoka | | | |
| 6. | Visiting | the offi | ce of a p | rofessi | onal La | ındscap | e Archit | ect | • • • • • • • • • • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 7. | Tour led by professional gardeners of Kameoka | | | | | | | | | |
| | Res | sidential | Gardens | S | | | | | | |
| | Sto | neyard | | | | | | | | |
| | Bar | nboo Sh | ор | | | | | | | |
| 8. | Duratio | n of Ent | ire Progr | am | | | | | | |
| 9. | Accom | nodatio | ns while | in Japa | ın | | | | | |
| | Ooi | moto | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| | You | uth Host | els | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | | | | ••••• |
| 1.0 | · | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | • | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | - | | = | | _ | | - | pan Study Abroad |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | • | ctivitie | s could | be add | ed to m | ake the | progra | m more beneficia |
| to | students | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | • | | • • • • • • • • • | | | |
| | Oth | er (plea | se list): | | | | | | | |